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Archaeological Notes

A NEW INTERPRETATION OF THE ANAVYSOS CHOUS

The Anavysos chous\(^1\) is our only pictorial evidence for a fifth century raised stage in Athens as well as being our only representation of fifth century actors in the presence of an audience and, in the opinion of some scholars, of a fifth century stage curtain.\(^2\) It depicts two spectators seated on \textit{kliismonoi} watching an actor dressed as Perseus dancing on a low stage partly concealed by something with curving lines.\(^3\) The identification of the actor as Perseus is assured by the sickle and the pouch. Virtually everything else is variously interpreted. The performance is said to be a comedy or a pantomime; the occasion public or private; the actor a dwarf or not.\(^4\) The curving lines

---

\(^1\) J.D. Beazley, \textit{ARV}\(^2\), 1215, 1 (= our fig. 1). See also A.W. Pickard-Cambridge, \textit{The Dramatic Festivals of Athens}\(^2\) (Oxford 1968), hereafter \textit{DEA}\(^3\), fig. 76.

\(^2\) “The only surviving picture of audience and actor in Attic comedy” T.B.L. Webster, \textit{Greek Theatre Production}\(^3\) (London 1970) 7; “the only picture of the fifth-century stage . . . the only picture of the fifth-century curtain,” Webster 20. See also P. Arnott, \textit{Greek Scenic Conventions} (Oxford 1962) 16 and C.W. Dearden, \textit{The Stage of Aristophanes} (London 1976) 13. G. Caputo, “Paloscondico su Vaso Attico,” \textit{Dioniso} 4 (1935) 277, compared a krater of slightly earlier date (\textit{ARV}\(^2\) 1053, 39) which shows a satyr in tights (i.e. an actor dressed as a satyr) “che danza su podio a pelada semplice.” I do not think the two “stages” are at all comparable, but I am surprised that this second example of a “stage” has not been discussed.

\(^3\) Caputo (supra n. 2) 274, identified the chairs with the marble \textit{prohedria} that still remain in the theater of Dionysus in Athens, but there is nothing to distinguish them from “The Easy Chair with Back” catalogued by G.M.A. Richter in \textit{The Furniture of the Greeks, Etruscans and Romans} (London 1966) 33.

have been called a *siparium* (curtain), background drapery wrongly placed by the painter and a decorative hanging in the *parodos*.\(^5\) The spectators are variously labeled as poet and choregos, poet and producer, patron and beloved, priest of Dionysus and producer or simply two spectators *pars pro toto.*\(^6\)

The most immediate problem, I think, is the identification of the curving lines to the right of and partly concealing the stage. These are universally interpreted as drapery of some sort: "it is difficult to explain them otherwise."\(^7\) Yet the material looks too rigid to be drapery and it is fastened to no visible support. As Webster admitted, "its shape and form are extremely difficult to explain."\(^8\) At first he compared it to the fabric often hung from the posts supporting the stage in the so-called Phylax vases.\(^9\) Then he found an obscure statement in Aristotle's *Nicomachean Ethics* (1123a23) saying that the vulgar man producing a comedy introduces purple (cloth) in the *parodos.\(^{10}\) The scholia note that normally skins were used as *parapetasmata* (hanging), and Webster concluded that "some sort of hanging is required in the *parodos.\(^{11}\) He later modified this: "probably the *parodos* means here the way up to the central door, the background"\(^12\) and so "the curving lines at the side of the stage may represent a curtain, which decorates the background on either side of the stage-door."\(^{13}\) However there is no evidence that *parodos* could ever mean *skene,* and Aristotle may simply be talking of dressing the chorus in purple.\(^{11}\) Webster himself offered a less specific interpretation a few years ago: "the curtain is by the side of the stage so that it isolates the area in which the comic Perseus dances."\(^{15}\)

A quite different possibility is that the parallel curved lines represent the curved stern of a boat.\(^6\) The picture then could represent the Dionysiac *currus nautalis* found on a number of vases.\(^{17}\) The bearded spectator "wrapped in his mantle, wretched"\(^{18}\) bears a striking resemblance to the Dionysus seated in one of the "floats."\(^{19}\) The grape vine has vanished and the flute players have been replaced by our dancing Perseus, but Dionysus' ivy crown remains.\(^{20}\) The shape of the pot suggests that it is appropriate for the Anthesteria and perhaps our dancing Perseus is to be explained as one of the comic actors who were said to compete for a place in the City Dionysia during the Anthesteria.\(^{21}\) The second spectator, holding a staff, remains a mystery.\(^{22}\)

_Drama_ (London 1971) 117.

14. The phrase is παράφορον ειφέρων, which may simply mean "bringing in purple cloth." Aristotle goes on to say "just as in _Megara," which is more likely to refer to clothing than scenic design (over which a choregos may have had less say in any case). I am also suspicious of scholia that speak of "hides" being used as hangings—it sounds antiquarian—and wonder if contemporary practice (i.e. the *siparium*) is not being invoked to solve an *aporia.*

15. Webster (supra n. 2) 20.


17. These were first collected by A. Frickenhaus, "Der Schiffskarren des Dionysos in Athen," _JdI_ 27 (1912) 1 table 1. See also _DFA* fig. 11-13.

18. Karouzou (supra n. 4) 42.

19. An Attic skyphos in Bologna (DFA* fig. 11; Frickenhaus [supra n. 17] table 1 fig. 3; Deubner [supra n. 16] fig. 11-1; C.H.E. Haspels, *Attic Black-figured Lekythoi* [Paris 1935] 253, 15), Professor G. Pinney has pointed out to me the similarity, especially in drapery, to the cult statue on a column krater in Basel, identified as Dionysos Eleuthereus by M. Schmidt, "Dionysien," _AntK_ 10 (1967) 76 (supra fig. 10 on p. 380 [ed.]).

20. Caputo (supra n. 2) 274; Bulle (supra n. 4) 52.

21. The evidence for these _chos trioi agônes_ is slender (see _DFA* 15-16). By their name they should fall on the third day of the Anthesteria (or the evening of the second, see _DFA* 10 n.8) and so a representation of them on the boat bringing Dionysus, necessarily before the _hieros gamos_ of the second day, may seem unlikely.

22. If he were bearded we would identify him as the judge often seen in vases depicting competition scenes. Beardless
It must be admitted that there are difficulties with such an interpretation. First, Dionysus is facing not the prow but the stern, which itself lacks the usual double, swan-headed *aphlaston.*\(^23\) Secondly, he is holding none of the usual implements: cantharus, thyrsus or grape vine. Finally, there is no firm evidence connecting the *carrus navalis* with the Anthesteraia.\(^24\)

The greatest problem is the poor condition of the *chos* itself, to judge from the pictures in Caputo, Webster and Brommer.\(^25\) Since it is at present impossible to examine the original or obtain new photographs, one must rely on the drawing of E. Gilliéron (here fig. 1) and the description of Caputo. Still, I think the problem of the parallel curved lines should be raised. If it is a boat and if the bearded spectator is Dionysus, we lose our evidence for a fifth century raised stage but we gain a piece of evidence that may link the *carrus navalis* with the Anthesteraia.\(^26\) And if Perseus is a comic actor, as the tights suggest,\(^27\) we have our first manifestation of the shadowy *chatrinoi agônes.*\(^28\)

---
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**TWO COMPOSITIONAL TENDENCIES IN AMARNA RELIEF**

It is widely believed that the art of Amarna did not

---

judges (and trainers), however, are not unknown: see E.N. Gardiner, *Greek Athletic Sports and Festivals* (London 1910) figs. 38, 48, 54, 66, 79, 82, 114, 115, and perhaps 116. Figure 38, a Panathenaic amphora in the British Museum (CVA I III H e pl. 4, 3b), shows a victor being crowned by a judge closely resembling our mystery figure: beardless, seated, with bare torso.

See Frickenhaus (supra n. 17) 63. However, one of his three ships clearly does not have a swan's head. Presumably the basketlike contraption seen hanging to the left or right of the sterns of his ships would not be visible on ours.

**Not** is any of the three vases showing it of the appropriate shape. Still, most recent statements favor the connection, e.g., *DFA* 12 n.2; G.M. Sifakis, *Studies in the History of Hellenistic Drama* (London 1967) 10 but not W. Burkert, *Homo Ncans* (Berlin 1972) 223-24.

Caputo (supra n. 2) fig. 1-4; Webster, *ArchEph* fig. 4; F. Brommer, *Satyrspiele* (Berlin 1944) fig. 17-19.


**The tights seem clear from the two lines around Perseus’ right hand. I cannot see the “twisted-up phallos” mentioned** constitute an overwhelming revision of tradition. For our purposes, it is therefore necessary to fix upon a precise formulation of this “tradition” of which Amarna art was supposedly but an extension or exaggeration.

It seems that almost all of the important compositional laws were laid down in the Egyptian Old Kingdom and were maintained, with few contrary interludes, into the Hellenistic era. One scholar has remarked that the reliefs of the Fifth Dynasty might be called “classical” Egyptian art.\(^1\) These reliefs present us with some of the best examples of Egyptian relief art, and clearly exhibit the tendencies and techniques of the genre at its height. On the other hand, those who hold that Amarna relief was an extension of tradition mean to refer in particular to the tradition of the Eighteenth Dynasty. The innovations of Amarna art, including many of the well-known stylistic exaggerations, were a manifestation of a general disintegration of cultural formality characteristic, it is believed, of the entire Eighteenth Dynasty. I shall not consider developments preparatory to the reforms of the Amarna period, but I do believe that gradual changes in artistic method and a general broadening of world-view during the early New Kingdom had a significant effect upon Amarna art. Yet despite this, there were specific changes in art which can be dated only to the Amarna years, including changes in the composition of relief representations.\(^2\) With

---

\(^{28}\) If not, then perhaps we have a representation of the “dances in costume” that shocked Apollonius (see *DFA* 16). I would like to thank Professors M.L. Lang, G. Pinney and E. Simon for reading this paper and offering very helpful suggestions and the Journal’s referee for catching two egregious blunders. I am also indebted to two students, Monica Barran and Raymond Liddell, for asking the right questions.

---


\(^{2}\) For composition in the Amarna period, see C.F. Nims, “The transition from the traditional to the new style of wall relief under Amenhotep IV,” JNES 32 (1973) 181-87; C.R.