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Stockholms arbetareinstitut, Stockholm
An Introduction to Ellen Key’s “Beauty in the Home”

Barbara Miller Lane

Ellen Key (1849–1926; fig. 1), Swedish philosopher, socialist, feminist, pacifist, pedagogue, and design theorist, was one of the most influential intellectuals of her time. She was famous not only throughout the Scandinavian countries, but in continental Europe and the United States as well. More than forty books and hundreds of essays and journal articles flowed from her pen. Most of these works grew out of the many hundreds of lectures she gave: in the Scandinavian countries, in France, Italy, Finland, and most extensively in Germany. Her major publications were translated not only into English but into almost all European languages before the First World War. By 1900 she was able to make a living (though a frugal one) from her books and lecture tours. A great many of Key’s works dealt with aesthetics—with the importance of the love of beauty in all realms of life. In an early essay (“Beauty,” 1897–98) she speaks of a “religion of beauty” and calls for “a visionary prophet . . . to preach fanatically . . . the laws of beauty and the evangelism of the personality.” The “prophet,” of course, was Key herself. She foretells a new era, a “Third Empire” of reason, social justice, creativity, peace, and beauty. She predicts that when a new art has conquered industry, “making everyday life festive and beautiful, for rich and poor,” the beauty of the home will spread outward to society, to architecture and city planning. Then, “under . . . modern social conditions, beautiful cities, monuments, and buildings [will] be created.”

In “Beauty in the Home,” translated here into English for the first time, Key asserts that the new aesthetic sensibility must begin in the domestic setting. The beautiful, she writes, is that which is practical, useful, informed by its purpose, and expressive of the soul of its user or creator. All people, she adds, need to create beautiful surroundings for themselves, and this creation begins in the home. If beauty exists in the home, Key writes, lives will be transformed, and so, ultimately, will every aspect of society. The new aesthetic would not be restricted to the wealthy, or to artists and connoisseurs. Ordinary people—farmers and workers—would achieve it more easily in fact because their taste had not been corrupted by fashion and because they possessed fewer things. Every worker, Key once wrote, is a potential artist.
Key also believed that every woman is a potential artist. The new taste and the new aesthetic would be created principally by women, partly because of their devotion to utility—“that whatever is useful is worthy of respect, all women realize”—but also in their role as nurturers and artists of the home, “of which [the woman] is the soul.” The mother, in particular, is the fountainhead of change, Key believed, as both the artist of the home and the educator of the children within it. By educating a new generation, mothers would found a new era, beginning with “the century of the child” (the title of Key’s most famous book, published in 1900). Outside the home, Key said, women also had a special role to play: they were the source of nurturing, caring, and indeed passion, within society. Their tasks were those of “motherliness,” which she saw as the fostering of creative individuality and the reeducation of taste among all walks of life.

In addition to writing on design and on art education, Key was an outstanding liberal and an early proponent of women’s political rights. A committed yet idiosyncratic socialist, she insisted that social justice be combined with personal self-expression and creativity. There was a strain of anarchism in her thought too, stemming partly from her powerful commitment to individualism, and partly from her rebellious and contentious nature. She was a leading pacifist before, during, and after the First World War. She condemned Christianity, saying that it and asceticism were the enemies of beauty, art, and culture. She developed a personal religion, prophetic of modern existentialism, in which holiness is immanent in nature, in ordinary people, and in everyday life.

Many of the origins of Key’s ideas lie in her childhood and youth. As a girl growing up at the family estate in Sundsholm, she learned to care deeply for the condition of the poorer farmers; she taught their children and involved herself in the “folk high school” movement. Key loved the Swedish countryside, with its age-old handcraft and folk traditions (fig. 2). She also admired traditional rural buildings, especially the typical red-painted farmsteads of the provinces and the simple rustic classicism of her own home and others like it (figs. 3 and 4). As a teenager, she began to gather artifacts for historian and ethnographer Artur Hazelius (1833–1901), who was collecting and re-creating early buildings, furniture, and fabrics; these collections ultimately became the world-famous museum at Skansen (fig. 5). Later, in “Beauty in the Home,” Key came to see the traditional architecture and crafts of rural Sweden as potential models for a new kind of design.

The love of painting that informs all Key’s writings also began at an early date. In 1873, on the way to the Vienna World’s Fair with her father, she visited the most important art museums of Europe (in Berlin, Dresden, Florence, Paris, London, Kassel, and Vienna). She repeatedly revisited these museums, as well as those of Copenhagen, Rome, and Munich. Like the German museum director Alfred Lichtwark (1852–1914), whom she came to admire, she believed that looking at works of artistic genius offered unique educational benefits for children and untutored people. Key took this idea further, though, arguing in her publications and speeches that even reproductions of good paintings were better for the viewer than ugly originals.

Educated almost entirely at home, Key early on formed a lifelong habit of voracious reading and self-education. In addition to her admiration for English women novelists, and for the greatest works of German Romanticism, she was deeply influenced by the writings of Herbert Spencer, John Stuart Mill, Charles Darwin, and Auguste Comte. Thus her early philosophical stance can be characterized as strongly rationalist and positivist, yet based on a fundamental attachment to a rural way of life, and with an undercurrent of Romantic beliefs.

When around 1875 she moved to Stockholm and began to act as informal secretary to her father, Emil Key (1822–1892), a landowner and member of the newly
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reformed Swedish Parliament, she was especially eager to work with him on liberal issues such as education and the political rights of women and farmers. She became a forceful proponent of political reforms and acquainted herself with Stockholm’s leading liberal politicians and intellectuals. In the 1880s she drew progressively closer to avant-garde artists and literary figures: to the painters among The Opponents and the writers of the Young Sweden movement. Both groups rebelled against traditional taste and espoused Naturalism; for the artists, this meant plein air painting and a new appreciation of the northern landscape; for the writers, often, a concern with women and the working classes.

In 1883 Key’s family suffered financial reverses, the family estate was lost, and she was forced to support herself in Stockholm. While teaching at the new Stockholms arbetareinstitut (Stockholm workers’ institute, founded in 1880), she began to lean toward socialism. Sweden’s industrialization began in the last decades of the nineteenth century—late, as compared to England, France, Germany, and the United States. Stockholm especially experienced large-scale population growth, absorbing a rapidly increasing number of new immigrants, without much new building. The living conditions of Stockholm’s poor toward the end of the century were considerably worse than in most of the rest of Europe. Working-class families with as many as eight children often crowded into a single room. The poorest people lived in the decaying wooden structures of Stockholm’s outer periphery.

In this context, Key transferred her early concern for Sweden’s rural poor to the working classes of Swedish cities. Inspired by reformist ideas not unlike those of Jane Addams, Key formed an association called Tolfterna (The twelves) that brought middle-class professional women together with working-class women to help them improve their way of living. In 1885 she cofounded Nya Idun (New Idun), an organization for women artists and intellectuals that she led until 1900. During the same years, she befriended leading Social Democrats. In 1889 Key forcefully (and famously) defended socialist leaders who had been imprisoned by the Swedish government for atheist writings, among them August Palm (1849–1922) and Hjalmar Branting (1860–1925), founders and first leaders of the nascent Social Democratic Party. After the formal establishment of the party in 1889, she appeared with Branting at party meetings, where she spoke about working conditions for women. An admirer and disciple was Gustaf Steffen (1864–1929), prominent Swedish political economist and social democratic representative to the Riksdagen, often seen as one of the progenitors of the Swedish “middle way” in politics and social policy.

Key’s lectures at the Workers’ Institute were open to the public; soon she also began to lecture at workers’ unions and clubs in Stockholm, and to student groups at Swedish and Finnish universities. Her lectures gained her great fame: she was, by all accounts, a bewitching speaker. Her talks—vivid, extemporaneous, presented with a modest (almost shy) demeanor and in a low voice—commanded attention from huge audiences of artists, architects, philosophers, literary figures, politicians, students, and workers. By the early 1890s, Key was a central figure in Stockholm’s intellectual life. She wrote regularly for radical periodicals, lectured widely, and began to publish her lectures and articles as books. She had already read and appreciated Kierkegaard and Nietzsche and would promote Nietzsche’s ideas among Stockholm’s intellectuals. She and her intimates gathered together at her apartment on Valhallavägen or at the home of artist Hanna Pauli (1864–1940) for weekly conversations about socialism, pacifism, education, philosophy, religion, and art (fig. 6). Often discussed among Key’s artist and literary friends were the writings of the eighteenth-century aesthetic philosopher Carl August Ehrensvärd (1745–1800). She and her circle subscribed to Ehrensvärd’s belief that Swedish artists and architects had a special mission to achieve an almost primitive
simplicity. They also shared Key's view that Sweden must begin to play a leading role in educating continental Europe and the rest of the world in a new aesthetic.

Key and her friends were in touch with the Swedish crafts revival and reform movements of the time, and they were aware of the English Arts and Crafts movement. They knew and admired the revival of Swedish crafts promoted by Föreningen Handarbetets Vänner (The association of friends of textile art) and attended Erik Folcker's lectures on English wallpapers sponsored by Svenska Slöjdföreningen (The Swedish arts and crafts society) in 1892. They read the English art periodical *The Studio* as soon as it began publication in 1893. (Key probably read William Morris and John Ruskin at about this time.) And they went to the new Swedish exhibitions, most notably the major Stockholm Exhibition of May 1897, where watercolors of "the home" by the painter Carl Larsson (1853–1919) were displayed. Key reviewed the Stockholm Exhibition, highlighting the paintings of her close friend. Larsson published these paintings in an enormously successful series of books beginning in 1899; it is from the first of this series, *Ett hem* (A Home), which Key quotes in "Beauty in the Home." In his paintings and books, Larsson depicted an ideal home, furnished with colorful, simple, and somewhat rustic-looking pieces designed by him and his wife, Karin, and decorated with textiles created by Karin, who was inspired by traditional crafts. Larsson peopled the dwelling with an idealized version of his own family (Karin and their eight children), leading an idealized life, plain and unpretentious, close to the soil and to local traditions. In Larsson's home, children worked and played, the family put on theatricals, light flooded in. Perpetual sunlight seemed to illuminate the life of the home, and strong colors predominated (figs. 7, 8, and 9). Key's own emphases on color and light are very similar to Larsson's, and, as she writes in "Beauty in the Home," she strongly approved of his depiction of family life.
Acting on her commitment to workers’ education, Key joined with artists Gerda Bergh (1864–1919) and Richard Bergh (1858–1919) and art historian Carl G. Laurin (1868–1940) to organize furnishing and decoration exhibits at the Workers’ Institute in the spring and fall of 1899, calling them the “Blue Room” and the “Green Room.” The “Blue Room” attempted to show a typical Swedish interior made tasteful by simplifying its contents and decorations. Plain white curtains, straw mats on the floor, tableware by designer Alf Wallander (1862–1914), and reproductions of works by artists (including Albrecht Dürer, Jean-François Millet, and Larsson) combined to display a “simple and purposeful form.” The “Green Room,” intended as the model for a more humble worker’s dwelling, was papered with an English wallpaper “in a pattern of small poppies” against a “background of yellow, green, and red tones” (figs. 10, 11, and 12). The furniture, designed by architect Carl Westman (1866–1936), was stained green in a “mixture of Swedish country and modern English styles”; the pattern of the wood was permitted to show through the stain. The sideboard was bright red, like much of the furniture in the Larsson home. As in the “Blue Room,” vases of flowers, bookcases, and art reproductions enhanced the interior. The exhibition showed, Key said, that the best effects could be achieved “with inexpensive materials and little effort,” by employing harmonious colors and simple, practical furniture.

About five thousand people visited the “Green Room” exhibition, and this success encouraged Key to expand the short essay published in 1897 into the much longer work translated here. In 1899 she collected the expanded essay and three earlier short writings on related subjects into Skönhet för alla (Beauty for all). Verdandi,
an influential radical students’ organization based at Uppsala University, published the book, with a cover by Larsson. The essays in Beauty for All differ greatly in tone and subject matter. “Vardagsskönhet” (The beauty of everyday life) (first published in Julbloss, 1891) speaks of the importance for the individual soul of sensing a connection to nature and creative work in art, music, and literature. “Festvanor” (Domestic celebrations) (Idun, 1896) argues that domestic festivals (Christmas, New Year’s, May Day, birthdays, name days, and other traditional household gatherings) awaken the understanding of each new generation to continuities, providing a kind of religious appreciation of everyday life. In “Skymmningsbrasan” (Twilight fire) (Idun, 1895, written in 1870) Key writes of the experience of light and the role of the hearth in the dwelling. The tone of these three essays, as of many of her other works, is often ecstatic, mystical, and prophetic.

“Beauty in the Home” is much more down-to-earth. Full of specific advice, it is a do-it-yourself manual for people of humble means, people seeking to establish some kind of judgment in the face of bad taste in home decoration. In the Swedish home of 1899, Key believed, “the most garishly cheap German taste” prevailed: ugly, ostentatious, crowded, dark, and gloomy. Manufacturers instead should be guided by artists and craftsmen who would impart “beautiful form and appropriate decor to all things, from the simplest and smallest . . . to the largest.” We are told to avoid dark colors in upholstery and wall coverings, to mistrust pictures on the walls, to despise artificial flowers, doilies, knickknacks, imitative styles, any appearance of ostentation—or, indeed, of wealth. The home and its furnishings must be close to nature, made as far as possible of natural materials, not from industrial products at least insofar as these imitate
something that they are not. We must not use certain kinds of varnish, but choose others that are lighter and more natural looking. Light colors are always good, but strong, bright, and cheerful ones must complement them. There is a discussion of the ideal number of guests to seat at dinner and finally a list of good buildings and paintings the reader should know about, in order to improve her taste.

Underlying these sometimes amusing and sometimes distracting instructions is a central vision that stresses simplicity, naturalness, and the path to a new kind of self-expression for each individual in society. Out of this vision will come a new way of life for each, and ultimately for all. References to light occur over and over again, not only as advice in decorating, but also as metaphors of enlightenment and transformation. To achieve the moral and social progress that will begin in the home, to move on to the century of the child and the millennial empire of justice and beauty, we must “let the sunshine come flooding in!”

The editors have chosen to translate “Beauty in the Home” as it was published in its 1913 edition, the final version during Key’s lifetime and the one most widely read. The essay did not change very much between 1899 and 1913: the major change was the addition, in 1904, of a long passage praising the home of Carl and Karin Larsson. The list of organizations, buildings, paintings, and sculptures of which Key approved was also added to and emended in each new edition, but the text as a whole was never rewritten after 1904. Thus “Beauty in the Home” is very much a product of the turn of the century, and of Key’s life before she became a peripatetic traveler and an internationally famous author.

That there was never after 1904 a revised edition of Beauty for All nor a new edition after 1913 during Key’s lifetime probably has to do not only with Key’s busy schedule in her fifties and sixties, but also with her preoccupation with her house at Strand, on Lake Vättern, built according to her specifications by her architect and brother-in-law, Yngve Rasmussen, in 1910. Key occupied Strand almost continuously from December 1910 on. Here she put some of her ideas about design into practice (figs. 13 and 14). There were strong colors on the walls, simple mats and runners on the floors, a mixture of relatively plain-looking (but by no means ruraly inspired) furniture, and reproductions of Symbolist paintings on the walls. (Her favorite was Arnold...
Böcklin’s *Island of the Dead.* The exterior bore a certain resemblance to Sundsholm, her childhood home. In keeping with Key’s wishes, Strand was used as a vacation place for working-class women after her death.

From about 1900 to 1909, Key’s lectures outside of Sweden magnified her fame. At this time too she began an enormous correspondence with foreign intellectuals, a correspondence she carried on until the end of her life. She also started to attract disciples from abroad, of whom perhaps the most well known to scholars are the German poet Rainer Maria Rilke, Austrian novelist and librettist Stefan Zweig, Lou Andreas-Salomé (German journalist, companion to Nietzsche and Rilke), and Mamah Borthwick and her lover Frank Lloyd Wright. Borthwick was one of Key’s earliest visitors at Strand; she translated four of Key’s works into English (from German), and she and Wright arranged for their publication in the United States.

Ellen Key had a genius for gathering up the most progressive ideas of her contemporaries—artists, crafts enthusiasts, designers, writers, politicians, labor leaders, reformers of all types—and welding them together through the force of her own intellectual passions into novel and persuasive arguments. Within Sweden, she was powerfully influential for a time. Among the younger generation of architects, housing planners, and design theorists, Carl Westman, Ragnar Östberg, and Gregor Paulsson owed her a great deal (figs. 15 and 16). In the longer term, her insistence that individualism and socialism must be joined together probably played some part in the evolution of Swedish social thought; certainly this idea provided a foundation for the social conscience so prevalent in later Swedish design and architecture.

Her significance for twentieth-century educational theories has often been noted. Her ideas about feminism are still significant, and still hotly debated. The further dimensions of her importance are difficult to measure. Scholars have only recently begun to scale the veritable mountain of material—her own letters, speeches, essays, journal and newspaper articles, and books, as well as comparable publications and manuscripts from her admirers and detractors—in studying her work. Thus there is much in Key’s life and thought that remains obscure. Still it is clear that Key’s belief that the home, the dwelling, could be the source of creative change in both the arts and in society had a decisive and long-lasting impact on Swedish design theory, applied arts, and architecture.
Notes
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32. According to Längborn, Key’s first acquaintance with Ruskin’s work dates to 1894 and with Morris’s, probably to 1895; Ellen Key och skönheten, pp. 39–40. Key mentions both in Individualism och socialism (1892), and Ruskin makes frequent appearances in “Skönhet,” 1897–98. A reference to Morris appears in the 1899 edition of “Beauty in the Home,” but not in the 1897 version. She returns to Morris in “Folket och konsten,” Vara. Illustredad månadstidsskrift vol. 1, no. 1 (January 1900): pp. 34–44. (My thanks to Eva Eriksson for obtaining this rare article for me.) As so often in her publications, Key reissued this text at a later date, after changing it substantially; see Folkbildningsarbetet, pp. 77–90, 139–45.
33. See Key, in the present volume, pp. 41–42. Key and Larsson had been friends since the mid-1880s. Larsson, Ett hem: 24 målingar (Stockholm: Bonnier, 1899); revised with the addition of one further image in 1904; further editions in 1910, 1912, 1913, and 1920. On Key and Larsson, see Wickman, "Homes"; Michael Snodin and Elisabet Stavenow-Hiderman, eds., Carl and Karin Larsson: Creators of the Swedish Style (Boston: Little, Brown, 1997); and Lane, National Romanticism.

34. Lengborn, Ellen Key och skönheten, pp. 69–75; Lengborn, Ellen Key—Richard Bergh (Linköping: Ellen Key-Sällskapets årskrift, 1997); Wickman, "Homes"; and Key, "Folket och konsten," Varia. Carl G. Laurin was one of the founders of Föreningen för skolors prydande med konstverk (The association for the decoration of schools with works of art), which Key mentions in her essay in the present volume, n. 29. He was also the brother of prominent art collector Thorsten Laurin and a partner in the publishing firm P. A. Norstedt & Sons.


36. Ibid., p. 42.

37. Ibid.

38. Verdandi was a Norse goddess. The Verdandi student organization was founded at Uppsala in 1882 as a political opposition group, liberal and increasingly tending toward socialism. It also favored temperance, pacifism, and efforts to retain closeness to nature. It sponsored lectures and arranged debates. See Facos, Nationalism, p. 13. The “Verdandi booklet” series, begun in 1888 and published by Bonnier, came to offer progressive intellectuals an important forum. In the early twentieth century, the series included, in addition to studies of the working classes and of a multitude of philosophical issues, important books on the artists Carl Larsson, Anders Zorn, and Bruno Liljefors, together with Ragnar Östberg’s Ett hem (see n. 51 below).

39. Windows must admit light, especially in the northern countries, and, she says, only those countries that have a tradition of the hearth really have a tie to a notion of home or homeland. It was this conception of “home,” reaching beyond the dwelling to include local traditions and homeland, that so appealed to Rilke, who corresponded with Key about “homelessness.” See Lane, National Romanticism, pp. 132, 352, n. 169; and Facos, Nationalism, pp. 62–63. Key does not differentiate here among the “northern” countries.

40. Key, in the present volume, p. 34.

41. Ibid.

42. Ibid., p. 43. The same phrase occurs in all editions. Key’s preoccupation with light was shared by Swedish artists of the 1890s. Since the publication of Kirk Varnedoe’s Northern Light: Nordic Art at the Turn of the Century (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1988) art historians have paid increasing attention to the treatment of light in Scandinavian painting and to its influence on the development of modern painting elsewhere. As yet, there has been no comparable study of the role of light and sunshine in the development of modern architecture and interior design.

43. Key, in the present volume, p. 42. A short passage on the Larsson home appears in the 1899 edition.

44. The 1904 edition includes a new mention of Axel Lindegren. Minor variations over time included changing references to authorities she approved of and changing bits of advice about decoration. The list of buildings and works of art she liked includes Boberg, Östberg, and Wahlman by name in 1908, but omits the names in 1913. A line-by-line comparison of the five editions and the first publication of 1897 would show a good deal about Key’s intellectual development, but is beyond the scope of our publication.

45. Rasmussen’s work was not very well known in Sweden; perhaps she chose him because of the family connection and for financial reasons. He designed a number of railroad stations, in which Key was interested. See her essay, in the present volume, p. 34.

46. There were many other paintings, drawings, and reproductions at Strand. Reproductions of Renaissance masters vied for space with Larsson prints and original oils by Prince Eugen, Hanna Pauli, and Richard Bergh. Another of Key’s favorite images was “Prayer to Light” (Lichtgebet, 1905) by the contemporary German artist Fidus, who was prominent in the German “Life Reform” movement; see Lane, National Romanticism, pp. 140–42.

47. It also resembled Heinrich Vogeler’s Barkenhoff house at the Worpswede art colony in Germany; Key knew of this house through Rilke, who sent her his book on Worpswede in 1903. She also kept in her workroom at Strand a picture of the Barkenhoff, with a note describing it as Rilke’s house.

48. Strand was made a national monument in 1992; today it offers short-term accommodations to female scholars. Nearby is the Ellen Key institutet (www.eki.nu), which organizes exhibitions, publishes works on Key, and in 2006 issued a modernized and popularized Swedish version of Skönhet för alla. I am grateful to Helena Kåberg and Hedda Jansson, curator at Ellen Key’s Strand, for much of my information on the contents and history of Key’s home.

49. A few examples from the vast collection of letters to Key preserved in the Ellen Key Archive, Manuscripts Division, Royal Library, National Library of Sweden, Stockholm: Rainer Maria Rilke, Prince Peter Kropotkin, Lou Andreas-Salomé, Emile Jacques-Dalcroze, Mamah Borthwick Borthwick, Stefan Zweig, Georg Brandes, Bjernstjerne Bjornson, Edmund William Gosse, Romain Rolland, Frank Lloyd Wright, Knut Hamsun, Juhani Aho, Isadora Duncan, Maurice Maeterlinck, Yrjö Hirn, Franziska Mann, Upton Sinclair, Bertha von Suttner, and Emile Verhaeren.

50. Borthwick signed the guestbook at Strand (which had opened for visitors at the end of December 1910) on June 9, 1911. (Our thanks to Hedda Jansson, curator at Ellen Key’s Strand, for providing us with photocopies of the first pages of the guestbook.) Borthwick’s translations of Key’s works (from the German) included The Morality of Woman and Other Essays (Chicago: Seymour, 1911); The Torpedo under the Ark: “Ibsen and Women” (Chicago: Seymour, 1912); Love and Ethics (Chicago: Seymour, 1912); and The Woman Movement (New York: Putnam, 1912). Love and Ethics bore Frank Lloyd Wright’s name as co-translator. The details of Borthwick’s and Wright’s contacts with Key remain unclear. They are explored in two excellent articles: Lena Johansson, “Ellen Key, Mamah Banton Borthwick and Frank Lloyd Wright: Notes on the Historiography of Non-existing History,” Nova: Nordic Journal of Women’s Studies, vol. 3, no. 2 (1995): pp. 126–36; and Alice T. Friedman, “Frank Lloyd Wright and Feminism: Mamah Borthwick Cheney’s letters to Ellen Key,” JSAH, vol. 61:2 (June 2002): pp. 140–51. Unfortunately the documentation accessible at the time of their research led both Johansson and Friedman to date Borthwick’s visit to Strand as June 9, 1910, and their
further deductions about dates of contact, based on the series of undated letters from Borthwick to Key in the Ellen Key Archive, Swedish Royal Library Manuscript Division, are colored to a certain extent by this misunderstanding. Friedman still believes, however, that internal evidence in these letters suggests a first personal contact between Borthwick and Key in the spring of 1910 (letter to the author, August 19, 2007). In any case, Borthwick’s and Wright’s later letters to Key from the United States display deep admiration and attachment. As a token of gratitude, at some point between 1912 and 1914 Wright sent Key a Hiroshige print, which now hangs in the upper hall at Strand (Johannesson).

51. Westman’s work on the “Green Room” was significant for his future interior design. Westman and Östberg were active in the movement to help workers build their own small homes in new suburban settlements outside Stockholm; Key praised their work in the essays collected in *Folkbildningsarbetet* , pp. 188–89; Östberg acknowledged her influence in his *Ett hem, dess byggnad och inredning* , Studentföreningen Verdandis småskrifter no. 131 (Stockholm: Bonnier, 1905). On Key’s influence on Paulsson, see Kåberg, Introduction, p. 61. On the movement for “owner-occupied homes” see especially Elisabet Stavenow-Hidemark, *Villabebyggelse i Sverige 1900–1925: Inflytande från utlandet, idéer, löverkligande* (Lund: Nordiska museets handlingar, 1971); Lane, *National Romanticism*, pp. 120–22; and acceptera, in the present volume, n. 51.