Bryn Mawr College

Scholarship, Research, and Creative Work at Bryn Mawr College

[Psychology Faculty Research and Scholarship](https://repository.brynmawr.edu/psych_pubs) [Psychology](https://repository.brynmawr.edu/psychology) Psychology

2020

Assessment of Stress Effects on Cognitive Flexibility using an Operant Strategy Shifting Paradigm

Andrew T. Gargiulo Bryn Mawr College, agargiulo1@brynmawr.edu

Xinyue Li Bryn Mawr College, xli5@brynmawr.edu

Laura A. Grafe Bryn Mawr College, lgrafe@brynmawr.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://repository.brynmawr.edu/psych_pubs](https://repository.brynmawr.edu/psych_pubs?utm_source=repository.brynmawr.edu%2Fpsych_pubs%2F83&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

C Part of the [Behavioral Disciplines and Activities Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/980?utm_source=repository.brynmawr.edu%2Fpsych_pubs%2F83&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Citation

Gargiulo, Andrew T., Xinyue Li and Laura A. Grafe. 2020. "Assessment of Stress Effects on Cognitive Flexibility using an Operant Strategy Shifting Paradigm." Journal of Visualized Experiments 159: e61228.

This paper is posted at Scholarship, Research, and Creative Work at Bryn Mawr College. https://repository.brynmawr.edu/psych_pubs/83

For more information, please contact [repository@brynmawr.edu.](mailto:repository@brynmawr.edu)

TITLE: Assessment of Stress Effects on Cognitive Flexibility Using an Operant Strategy Shifting Paradigm **AUTHORS AND AFFILIATIONS:** 6 Andrew Gargiulo¹, Ariel Li¹, Laura A. Grafe¹ 8 ¹Department of Psychology, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, PA, USA **Corresponding Author:** Laura A. Grafe (Lgrafe@brynmawr.edu) **Email Addresses of Co-Authors:** Andrew Gargiulo (Agargiulo1@brynmawr.edu) Ariel Li (xli5@brynmawr.edu) **KEYWORDS:** sex differences, cognitive flexibility, stress, prefrontal cortex, attention, perseverative errors **SUMMARY:** Stressful life events impair cognitive function, increasing the risk of psychiatric disorders. This 22 protocol illustrates how stress affects cognitive flexibility using an automated operant strategy shifting paradigm in male and female Sprague Dawley rats. Specific brain areas underlying particular behaviors are discussed, and translational relevance of results are explored. **ABSTRACT:** Stress affects cognitive function. Whether stress enhances or impairs cognitive function depends on several factors, including the 1) type, intensity, and duration of the stressor; 2) type 29 of cognitive function under study; and 3) timing of the stressor in relation to learning or executing the cognitive task. Furthermore, sex differences among the effects of stress on cognitive function have been widely documented. Described here is an adaptation of an automated operant strategy shifting paradigm to assess how variations in stress affect cognitive flexibility in male and female Sprague Dawley rats. Specifically, restraint stress is used before or after training in this operant-based task to examine how stress affects cognitive performance in both sexes. Particular brain areas associated with each task in this automated paradigm have been well-established (i.e., the medial prefrontal cortex and orbitofrontal cortex). This allows for targeted manipulations during the experiment or the assessment of particular genes and proteins in these regions upon completion of the paradigm. This paradigm also allows for the detection of different types of performance errors that occur after stress, each of which has defined neural substrates. Also identified are distinct sex differences in perseverative errors after a repeated restraint stress paradigm. The use of these techniques in a preclinical model may reveal how stress affects the brain and impairs cognition in psychiatric disorders, such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and major depressive disorder (MDD), which display

marked sex differences in prevalence.

INTRODUCTION:

48 In humans, stressful life events can impair cognitive function (i.e, cognitive flexibility¹), which

denotes the ability to adapt cognitive processing strategies to face new conditions in the

- environment². Impairment in cognition precipitates and exacerbates many psychiatric
- disorders, such as Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and Major Depressive Disorder
- 52 (MDD)^{3,4}. These disorders are twice as prevalent in females^{5–8}, yet the biological basis for this

disparity remains unknown. Aspects of executive functioning in humans can be assessed using

54 the Wisconsin Card Sorting Task, a demonstration of cognitive flexibility². Performance in this

- 55 task is impaired in patients with PTSD⁹ and MDD¹⁰, but the neural basis of this change can only
- 56 be examined by brain imaging.
-

Advances in understanding how stress affects the brain have been made through the use of

- animal models, particularly rodents. As cognitive flexibility is affected in stress-related diseases,
- it is an exceptionally relevant phenotype to examine in rodents. To date, most stress
- neurobiology literature has used an alternative cognitive flexibility paradigm (sometimes
- 62 referred to as the digging task)¹²⁻¹⁵. While this task has been extensively vetted, it requires
- more time and effort by the experimenter to train rodents. Adapted and described here is a
- 64 well-established automated set-shifting protocol¹⁶ to assess cognitive flexibility in male and
- 65 female Sprague Dawley rats using various stress models^{17,18}. The procedure requires minimal
- oversight by the experimenter and allows multiple rats to be tested simultaneously. In addition,
- 67 unlike other versions of this automated task¹⁹, the adaptation of this paradigm only requires 3
- days of training and includes an efficient programmed data analysis.
-

Whether stress enhances or impairs cognitive function depends on the type, intensity, and

duration of the stressor, as well as the timing of the stressor in relation to learning or executing

72 a cognitive task^{20,21}. Thus, the protocol incorporates stress procedures both before and after

- the operant training. It also examines representative results from stress studies. In addition, the
- 74 brain regions underlying particular aspects of set-shifting have been well-established^{2,16,22}; thus,
- the report also describes how to target and assess particular brain regions during or after the
- 76 stress and strategy shifting procedures.
-

There has been limited research on directly examining sex differences in cognitive flexibility^{18,23}.

- The protocol describes how to 1) incorporate both male and female rats into the experimental
- paradigm, then 2) track estrous cycles before and during the procedures in freely cycling
- females. Prior studies have indicated that stress before operant training can lead to sex-specific
- 82 deficits in cognitive flexibility in rats¹⁷. Particularly, female rats exhibit disruptions in cognitive
- 83 flexibility after stress, whereas cognitive flexibility improves in male rats after stress¹⁷.
- Interestingly, a major hallmark of stress-related psychiatric disorders, which have a sex-biased
- incidence in humans, is cognitive inflexibility. These results suggest that females may be more
- vulnerable to this type of cognitive impairment than males. The use of these techniques in
- 87 animal models will shed light on the effects of stress on the brain and how it impairs cognition
- in psychiatric disorders in humans.

- confounding stressor. If this appears to be the case, alternatively use a fixed amount of food 134 given to each subject, regardless of weight.
-
- **2. Vaginal lavage**
-

 NOTE: Gonadal hormones (i.e., estrogen and progesterone) are known to affect the stress 139 response and cognition^{28–30}. These hormones fluctuate over the estrous cycle of female rats³¹. If interested in tracking the estrous cycle of freely cycling female rodents to correlate with stress or cognitive flexibility data, collect vaginal lavage as described below. Representative data considering estrous cycle stage are not provided.

- 2.1. To obtain vaginal lavage samples from females, gather warm water in a clean beaker, a glass eyedropper, a "lavage" slide (microscope slide with acrylic paint circles to hold the lavage 146 sample), and one empty beaker.
-
- 148 2.2. Fill the eyedropper with a small amount of warm water (~0.5 mL), then insert the tip into the vagina of the female rat (by lifting by its tail). Expel the sterile water 2x–3x and expel the collected fluid onto a microscopic slide. Do not overflow the lavage slide circle.
-
- 2.3. Expel any excess liquid into the empty beaker. Label the lavage slide with rat numbers and 153 put the samples from each rat in that order so it is clear which sample belongs to each rat.
- 155 2.4. Thoroughly rinse the eyedropper by pipetting clean warm water and dispensing it into the "excess" beaker several times before filling the eyedropper to sample the next rat.
-
- 2.5. Carefully carry the lavage slide to a brightfield microscope to image the lavage sample and 159 classify the day within the estrous cycle as described in Becker et al³¹.
-
- NOTE: Ideally, lavaging should be done for a few weeks to properly track a female's cycle and should be performed at a very similar time each day to control for circadian rhythms.
- Preferably, this procedure should be performed before stress and operant strategy shifting
- procedures. Data for female rats can be analyzed post-hoc according to estrous cycle day
- (consider days of cycle when stress is performed and/or day of cycle when testing occurs).
-

3. Equipment and software

-
- 169 3.1. Use operant chambers for behavioral training and testing. 171 3.1.1. Ensure that the chambers contain at least two retractable levers with two stimulus lights 172 above, a house light, and a dispenser for reinforcement for these tasks. 174 3.1.2. Check that the levers are on the either side of the central reinforcement delivery area 175 with one stimulus light above each lever.

-
- 7.1 Open the data for each test day task (side discrimination, side reversal, and light
- discrimination) using the computer program. The main measures recorded by the program are
- trials to criterion, errors in criterion, and time to criterion. These measures are described in detail below.
-
- NOTE: The authors have generated a MATLAB script that allows for automation of the analysis process as well as analysis of perseverative vs. regressive errors (contact authors for code
- information to streamline data analysis).
-

 7.1.1. Use trials to criterion (which refers to the total number of trials [not including omissions] necessary for the rat to consecutively complete eight correct trials, including those eight trials) as the main indicator of accuracy. This data is located in the first column in array B in a data file generated by the MED-PC script for any of the tasks on test day.

 7.1.2. Examine the total errors made during each task. This data is located in the third column of array B in a data file generated by the MED-PC script for any of the tasks on test day. These errors are also categorized into perseverative or regressive errors. Perseverative errors are committed when the rat continues to follow the earlier rule from the previous task. Regressive errors are committed after it has disengaged from the previous rule but continues to try to acquire the new rule (for more details on how these types of errors are calculated, refer to the 330 published method¹⁸).

 7.1.3. If the rat did not respond to a light cue within 15 s, the trial is categorized as an omission, not counting it towards the total number of trials to criterion. Calculate this by first adding together the number of correct responses (located in the second column of array B in data file) and number of errors (located in the third column of array B in data file). Next, subtract this number from the total number of trials to criterion (this is the last number in the first column of array B in a data file, different from the trials to criterion).

 7.1.4. Use start and finish times recorded by the program (located at the top of a data file generated by the MED-PC script for any of the tasks on test day) to calculate time to criterion. Latency to the first lever press can also be calculated from the data file by subtracting the variable K (elapsed time in seconds from the first lever press) from the time to criterion.

- 7.1.5. Average the data for each behavioral measure for rats within the same treatment group. Perform appropriate statistical analyses (depending on how many variables are being examined).
-
- **8. Brain substrates**
-

8.1. Determine an interested brain area and/or aspect of cognitive flexibility. For example, if

- stress increases perseverative errors in the side reversal task, the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC)
- may be of particular interest, as previous lesion studies have indicated this brain region plays a

determine if repeated restraint stress affects cognition in male and female Sprague Dawley

- rats. Representative behavioral data are described in **Figure 2** below. In short, control and
- repeatedly restrained rats performed this operant strategy shifting test, which consisted of a
- series of tasks: side discrimination, side reversal, and light discrimination.
-

 Trials to criterion for each task are depicted in **Figure 2A**. Typically, better performance on each task was represented by a reduced number of trials to criterion. These data indicate that, following acute restraint, males completed the side reversal task in significantly fewer trials than unstressed, control males. Conversely, stressed females required a significantly greater number of trials to complete the side reversal task. These results suggest that males exhibited improved performance following stress, whereas females exhibited impaired performance. In the light discrimination task, stress increased the number of trials to criterion compared to control females, thereby impairing performance in females but not males in this task.

The total number of errors made for each attention task is depicted in **Figure 2B.** Consistent

- with the number of trials to criterion, stressed males made significantly fewer errors than
- control males, whereas stressed females made more errors in the side reversal task.
- Furthermore, in the light discrimination task, females also made significantly more errors. In
- sum, these data suggest that repeated stress improves cognitive performance in males but
- impairs cognitive performance in females.
-

Total errors were further categorized into perseverative or regressive errors in **Figure 2C** (for a

- distinction between these two types of errors, refer to section 7 of the protocol). Interestingly,
- stressed males made fewer perseverative errors in the side reversal task than control males. On
- the other hand, in both the side reversal and light discrimination tasks, stressed females made a
- greater number of perseverative errors than control females. There were no differences
- 422 between the treatment groups in the number of regressive errors made during either task.
-
- Omissions in each trial and time to reach criterion are shown in **Figure 2D** (for more
- information on how these were calculated, refer to section 7 of the protocol)**.** These measures
- were evaluated in the side reversal task only, as this task exhibited the largest sex differences.
- Stressed females made a higher percentage of omissions compared to all other treatment
- groups. In addition, while stress appeared to decrease the time to complete the side reversal
- task in males, stress prolonged completion of the task in females. In sum, repeated stress
- impaired cognitive flexibility in females but not males.
-
- Brain substrates underlying cognitive flexibility are depicted in **Figure 3**. As stark sex differences were observed in the side reversal task, the brain areas underlying this task were examined to 434 determine whether they displayed similar sex differences in neural activity. As previously
- discussed, lesion studies have indicated that the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) mediates the side
- 436 Freversal task³⁴. Thus, c-fos, a measure of neural activation³⁷, was labeled in the OFC at 30 min
- 437 after the completion of strategy shifting, which should have reflected performance in the side
- 438 reversal task³⁸. However, it is possible that OFC may also play a role in the extradimensional
- 439 strategy shifting component of this task³⁹. Thus, it is important to perform the sacrifice at the
- appropriate time to reflect brain activity during a particular task within the operant strategy
- shifting paradigm. Here, stress induced a significant increase in neuronal activation in the OFC
- of males compared to controls**.** However, stress induced a significant decrease in neuronal
- activation in the OFC of females compared to controls. Furthermore, in males, OFC activation
- and trials to criterion were negatively correlated; specifically, higher OFC activation was
- associated with fewer trials to criterion. In contrast, there was no correlation between OFC
- activation and performance in females, suggesting that the OFC was disengaged during these performances.
-

FIGURE LEGENDS:

 Figure 1: Schematic of the operant strategy shifting paradigm during training and test days.

- **Figure 2: Representative behavioral data from operant strategy shifting paradigm. (A)** Trials to
- criterion for each task on test day. In the side reversal task, stress improved performance in
- males but impaired performance in females. In the light discrimination task, stress weakened
- performance in females, while it did not affect males. **(B)** Number of errors for each task on test
- day. Stress reduced the number of errors made in males but increased errors in females in both
- side reversal and light discrimination tasks. **(C)** Perseverative and regressive error
- categorization. Stress decreased perseverative errors made in males but increased
- perseverative errors made in females in both side reversal and light discrimination tasks. **(D)**
- Percent trials omitted and time to criterion in the side reversal task. Stress increased the
- percent omissions in female rats. Stress decreased the time required by males but increased
- the time required by females to complete the task. Statistics were calculated using two-way
- 464 ANOVA followed by Tukey's t-test (n = 12 rats per group; error bars represent SEM; #p ≤ 0.10 ,
- 465 $*p < 0.05$). This figure has been modified from a previous publication¹⁷.
-

Figure 3: Representative neural activation after operant strategy shifting paradigm. (A) OFC

- activation after strategy shifting task. Representative images of immunohistochemical 3,3'-
- diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining using an antibody against c-fos in the OFC visualized using
- brightfield microscopy, then quantified. Stress significantly increased activation (demonstrated
- 471 by the number of c-fos-expressing cells) in the OFC of males, while it decreased activation in
- females. Scale bar in bottom-right image panel represents 200 µm. Statistics were calculated
- using two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's t-test (n = 12 rats per group, 6–8 sections of OFC
- analyzed per rat; error bars represent SEM; *p < 0.05). **(B)** Trials to criterion in the side reversal task correlated with OFC activation. Males displayed a significant negative correlation, whereas
- females did not.
-

DISCUSSION:

-
- The protocol demonstrates how to measure the effects of stress on cognitive function.
- Specifically, a modified operant strategy shifting paradigm is used in rodents, which measures
- 482 cognitive flexibility (analogous to the Wisconsin Card Sorting Task in humans)¹. Cognitive
- flexibility denotes the ability to adapt cognitive processing strategies to face new conditions in
- 484 the environment, and it is crucial for normal daily functioning². As human studies on cognitive

485 flexibility are mostly limited to brain imaging¹¹, the use of this paradigm in animals will greatly advance the understanding of effects of stress on the brain and cognition.

488 Stress can impair cognitive function⁴⁰. In fact, this is one of the most common phenotypes in

489 stress-related illnesses such as PTSD and MDD^{3,41}. Moreover, there are stark sex differences in

- 490 the occurrence of stress-related psychiatric illnesses⁵⁻⁷, yet there is little understanding of the
- neurobiology behind these biased incidences. Thus, use of this operant strategy shifting paradigm in animals of both sexes may help advance the current understanding of sex
- differences in psychiatry.
-

This operant strategy shifting task allows researchers to examine key aspects of cognition

- relevant to psychiatric disorders. For example, perseverative errors after experimental
- manipulation are calculated in this paradigm. Perseveration is observed in stress-related
- psychiatric disorders such as PTSD, and it impairs the ability of one to learn a new set of rules,
- 499 ultimately impairing working memory³. Thus, the measure of perseverative errors is
- translationally relevant. Moreover, omissions in attention tasks have been noted in patients
- 501 with PTSD, indicating slower cortical processing³. Accordingly, omission data from this paradigm
- may have clinical counterparts. In sum, cognitive flexibility measured as by this experimental
- paradigm models key phenotypes that are observed in psychiatric disorders.
-

 This experimental paradigm also allows for precision in targeting neural substrates underlying cognitive flexibility. For example, the literature has indicated that the prefrontal cortex (PFC) is 507 a crucial brain region for cognitive flexibility³, including the medial prefrontal (mPFC) and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). Of these subregions in the PFC, the OFC is important for

- 509 performance in the side reversal task $34,35$. These brain areas are also a key targets for stress-
- 510 induced functional alterations^{42 43}. Interestingly, the model of stress used here does appear to
- play a role in the subsequent performance of rodents in tests of cognitive flexibility; thus, it
- should be considered in the design of future experiments. These varying responses to stress
- point to potentially novel mechanisms by which cognition is impacted by stress. Thus, targeting
- specific neurotransmitters, proteins, or activation of these brain regions may shed light on how
- stress affects cognition in male and female rodents. Researchers can choose to manipulate
- these neural substrates at different timepoints in conjunction with stress or strategy shifting, or
- alternatively measure neural substrates after exposure to these behavioral paradigms.
-
- This modified operant strategy shifting task has clear advantages over other cognitive flexibility 520 paradigms used in the stress literature (i.e., the digging task^{12–15}), which require more time and effort by the experimenter to train rodents. This procedure requires minimal oversight by the experimenter and allows multiple rats to be tested simultaneously. In addition, unlike other 523 versions of this automated task¹⁹, the paradigm only requires 3 days of training and includes an efficient programmed data analysis.
-

 The operant strategy shifting paradigm does have certain limitations. One limitation is that it can only test two stimulus dimensions (e.g., left or right lever vs. light cue), whereas the digging 528 task^{12–15} can test a third stimulus dimension (e.g., digging media vs. odor vs. texture). However,

- the task described in this protocol still allows for testing of the rat's ability to shift to different
- rules, which allows testing of the cognitive flexibility constructs. In addition, it is possible to add
- other parameters to the operant chambers to allow for a third stimulus (e.g., an odor), but this
- may prolong the training required for the task.
-

 The primary advantage of this task is its simplicity and ability to pair it with stressful or pharmacological manipulations to further understand how stress affects the brain. It should be noted that this simplicity comes with an increased difficulty that subjects face while learning to lever press, compared to the ecologically relevant digging task. While this operant task is far less labor-intensive, rodents will generally require more trials to acquire this task. However, both the digging task and this paradigm engage similar neurobiological mechanisms and thus 540 represent valid options for the examination of cognitive flexibility^{16,44}. While there have been varied results in the literature regarding the effects of stress on cognitive flexibility using the 542 digging task and this operant procedure^{23,25,27,45,46}, the presented method reflects the complex

- 543 effects that the type, intensity, and duration of a stressor can have on cognitive function^{20,21}.
- Another limitation of the task is that rodents are housed in closed opaque boxes; thus,
- behaviors other than those that are collected via the computer interface cannot be coded. For
- example, a high number of omissions by a rat may be due to behavioral inhibition inflicted by
- stress, or because the rat is asleep. Moreover, other stereotypical behaviors, such as grooming
- (which is particularly relevant in studying stress), may be interesting to analyze during the task.
- Mounting cameras in operant chambers may allow for this type of behavioral precision.
-

 Overall, this report details the use of stress procedures in conjunction with an operant strategy shifting paradigm to further understand how stress affects the brain. It should be noted that, in

- addition to stress procedures and cognitive assessment in adults, research on different
- developmental stages may provide crucial information about the etiology of cognitive
- inflexibility. In addition to studying the effects of stress on cognitive flexibility, this simple and
- efficient operant strategy shifting paradigm can be paired with many experimental
- manipulations to investigate how the brain adapts to changing environments. Moreover,
- alternate experimental approaches can be used to study the neural basis of cognitive flexibility,
- including lesions, pharmacology, gene editing, and electrophysiology. As cognitive inflexibility is one of the key phenotypes in psychiatric disease, more research must be conducted to further
- understand its neurobiological substrates.
-

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

 The authors would like to thank Hannah Zamore, Emily Saks, and Josh Searle for their help in establishing this operant strategy shifting paradigm in the Grafe lab. They would also like to thank Kevin Snyder for his help with the MATLAB code for analysis.

DISCLOSURES:

- The authors have nothing to disclose.
-
- **REFERENCES**

 1. Hurtubise, J. L., Howland, J. G. Effects of stress on behavioral flexibility in rodents. *Neuroscience*. **345**, 176-192 (2016). 2. Bissonette, G. B., Powell, E. M., Roesch, M. R. Neural structures underlying set-shifting: Roles of medial prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex. *Behavioural Brain Research*. **250**, 91–101 (2013). 3. Vasterling, J. J., Brailey, K., Constans, J. I., Sutker, P. B. Attention and memory dysfunction in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Neuropsychology*. **12** (1), 125–33 (1998). 4. Bangasser, D. A., Kawasumi, Y. Cognitive disruptions in stress-related psychiatric disorders: A role for corticotropin releasing factor (CRF). *Hormones and Behavior*. **76**, 125–135 (2015). 5. Nestler, E. J. et al. Neurobiology of depression. *Neuron*. **34** (1), 13–25 (2002). 6. Keane, T. M., Marshall, A. D., Taft, C. T. Posttraumatic stress disorder: etiology, epidemiology, and treatment outcome. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*. **2**, 161– 1697 (2006). 7. Seeman, M. V. Psychopathology in women and men: focus on female hormones. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*. **154** (12), 1641–1647 (1997). 8. Hodes, G. E., Epperson, C. N. Sex Differences in Vulnerability and Resilience to Stress Across the Life Span. *Biological Psychiatry*. **86** (6), 421–432 (2019). 9. Monika, T.-B., Antoni, F., Piotr, G., Marian, M., Krzysztof, Z. Wisconsin Card Sorting Test in psychological examination of patients with psychiatric disorders. *Polski merkuriusz lekarski: organ Polskiego Towarzystwa Lekarskiego*. **25 Suppl 1**, 51–2 (2008). 10. Merriam, E. P., Thase, M. E., Haas, G. L., Keshavan, M. S., Sweeney, J.A. Prefrontal cortical dysfunction in depression determined by Wisconsin Card Sorting Test performance. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*. **156** (5), 780–782 (1999). 11. Monchi, O., Petrides, M., Petre, V., Worsley, K., Dagher, A. Wisconsin Card Sorting revisited: distinct neural circuits participating in different stages of the task identified by event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging. *The Journal of Neuroscience: the Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. **21** (19), 7733–7741 (2001). 12. Bulin, S. E., Hohl, K. M., Paredes, D., Silva, J. D., Morilak, D. A. Bidirectional optogenetically-induced plasticity of evoked responses in the rat medial prefrontal cortex can impair or enhance cognitive set-shifting. *eNeuro*. **7** (1) ENEURO.0363-19 (2019). 13. Chaby, L. E., Karavidha, K., Lisieski, M. J., Perrine, S. A., Liberzon, I. Cognitive Flexibility Training Improves Extinction Retention Memory and Enhances Cortical Dopamine With and Without Traumatic Stress Exposure. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*. **13**, 24 (2019). 14. Drozd, R., Rojek-Sito, K., Rygula, R. The trait 'pessimism' does not interact with cognitive flexibility but makes rats more vulnerable to stress-induced motivational deficits: Results from the attentional set-shifting task. *Behavioural Brain Research*. **335**, 199–207 (2017). 15. Birrell, J. M., Brown, V. J. Medial frontal cortex mediates perceptual attentional set- shifting in the rat. *The Journal of Neuroscience: the Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. **20** (11), 4320–4 (2000). 16. Floresco, S. B., Block, A. E., Tse, M. T. L. Inactivation of the medial prefrontal cortex of the rat impairs strategy set-shifting, but not reversal learning, using a novel, automated

- procedure. *Behavioural Brain Research*. **190** (1), 85–96 (2008).
- 17. Grafe, L. A., Cornfeld, A., Luz, S., Valentino, R., Bhatnagar, S. Orexins Mediate Sex Differences in the Stress Response and in Cognitive Flexibility. *Biological Psychiatry*. **81** (8), 683–692 (2017).
- 18. Snyder, K. P., Barry, M., Valentino, R. J. Cognitive impact of social stress and coping strategy throughout development. *Psychopharmacology*. **232**(1),185-9 (2014).
- 19. Brady, A. M., Floresco, S. B. Operant procedures for assessing behavioral flexibility in rats. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*. (96), e52387 (2015).
- 20. Sandi, C., Pinelo-Nava, M. T. Stress and Memory: Behavioral Effects and Neurobiological Mechanisms. *Neural Plasticity*. 1–20 (2007).
- 21. Shansky, R. M., Lipps, J. Stress-induced cognitive dysfunction: hormone-neurotransmitter interactions in the prefrontal cortex. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. **7**, 123 (2013).
- 22. Ragozzino, M. E., Detrick, S., Kesner, R. P. Involvement of the prelimbic-infralimbic areas of the rodent prefrontal cortex in behavioral flexibility for place and response learning. *The Journal of Neuroscience: the Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. **19** (11), 4585–94 (1999).
- 23. Liston, C. et al. Stress-induced alterations in prefrontal cortical dendritic morphology predict selective impairments in perceptual attentional set-shifting. *The Journal of Neuroscience: the Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. **26** (30), 7870–4 (2006).
- 24. Hatch, A., Wiberg, G. S., Balazs, T., Grice, H. C. Long-Term Isolation Stress in Rats. *Science*. **142** (3591), 507–507 (1963).
- 25. Grafe, L. A., Cornfeld, A., Luz, S., Valentino, R., Bhatnagar, S. Orexins Mediate Sex Differences in the Stress Response and in Cognitive Flexibility. *Biological Psychiatry*. **81** (8), 683–692 (2017).
- 26. Animal Care and Use Committee, T. J. H. U. Species Specific Information: Rat. at <http://web.jhu.edu/animalcare/procedures/rat.html>.
- 27. Lapiz-Bluhm, M. D. S. et al. Behavioural assays to model cognitive and affective dimensions of depression and anxiety in rats. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*. **20** (10), 1115–37 (2008).
- 28. McEwen, B. S. Permanence of brain sex differences and structural plasticity of the adult brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. **96** (13), 7128–7130 (1999).
- 29. Manber, R., Armitage, R. Sex, steroids, and sleep: a review. *Sleep*. **22** (5), 540–55 (1999).
- 30. Sherwin, B. B. Estrogen and Cognitive Functioning in Women. *Endocrine Reviews*. **24** (2), 133–151 (2003).
- 31. Becker, J. B. et al. Strategies and methods for research on sex differences in brain and behavior. *Endocrinology*. **146** (4), 1650–73 (2005).
- 32. Koch, C. E., Leinweber, B., Drengberg, B. C., Blaum, C., Oster, H. Interaction between circadian rhythms and stress. *Neurobiology of Stress*. **6**, 57–67 (2017).
- 33. Warren, B. L. et al. Neurobiological sequelae of witnessing stressful events in adult mice. *Biological Psychiatry*. **73** (1), 7–14 (2013).
- 34. McAlonan, K., Brown, V. J. Orbital prefrontal cortex mediates reversal learning and not attentional set-shifting in the rat. *Behavioural Brain Research*. **146** (1–2), 97–103 (2003).
- 35. Schoenbaum, G., Saddoris, M. P., Stalnaker, T. A. Reconciling the roles of orbitofrontal cortex in reversal learning and the encoding of outcome expectancies. *Annals of the New*
- *York Academy of Sciences*. **1121** (1), 320–35 (2007). 36. Meunier, M. Effects of orbital frontal and anterior cingulate lesions on object and spatial
- memory in rhesus monkeys. *Neuropsychologia*. **35** (7), 999–1015 (1997).
- 37. Zappulla, R. A., Wang, W., Friedrich, V. L., Grabel, J., Nieves, J. CNS activation patterns underlying motor evoked potentials as demonstrated by c-fos immunoreactivity. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*. **43**, 155–69 (1991).
- 38. Schoenenberger, P., Gerosa, D., Oertner, T. G. Temporal Control of Immediate Early Gene Induction by Light. *PLoS ONE*. **4** (12), e8185 (2009).
- 39. Chase, E. A., Tait, D. S., Brown, V. J. Lesions of the orbital prefrontal cortex impair the formation of attentional set in rats. *The European Journal of Neuroscience*. **36** (3), 2368– 75 (2012).
- 40. Hancock, P. A., Warm, J. S. A dynamic model of stress and sustained attention. *Human Performance in Extreme Environments*. **7** (1), 15–28 (2003).
- 41. Johnson, P. L., Molosh, A., Fitz, S. D., Truitt, W. A., Shekhar, A. Orexin, stress, and anxiety/panic states. *Progress in Brain Research*. **198**, 133–61 (2012).
- 42. Leuner, B., Shors, T. J. Stress, anxiety, and dendritic spines: what are the connections? *Neuroscience*. **251**, 108–19 (2013).
- 43. Holmes, A., Wellman, C. L. Stress-induced prefrontal reorganization and executive dysfunction in rodents. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. **33** (6), 773–83 (2009).
- 44. Placek, K., Dippel, W. C., Jones, S., Brady, A. M. Impairments in set-shifting but not reversal learning in the neonatal ventral hippocampal lesion model of schizophrenia: further evidence for medial prefrontal deficits. *Behavioural Brain Research*. **256**, 405–13 (2013).
- 45. Nikiforuk, A., Popik, P. Long-lasting cognitive deficit induced by stress is alleviated by acute administration of antidepressants. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. **36** (1), 28–39 (2011).
- 46. Bondi, C. O., Rodriguez, G., Gould, G. G., Frazer, A., Morilak, D. A. Chronic unpredictable stress induces a cognitive deficit and anxiety-like behavior in rats that is prevented by chronic antidepressant drug treatment. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. **33** (2), 320–31 (2008).